

GROUP DISCUSSION • 19 AUGUST 2025

Advancing Agile Regulatory Models for Innovation Frameworks Addressing Innovation in Food Ingredients and Processes – Role of Codex

Background

This draft questionnaire was developed to guide group discussions among CCASIA members and regional food stakeholders with the aim to identify collaborative mechanisms that can accelerate market access for innovative ingredients and processes, while laying the groundwork for harmonization efforts that align with Codex principles.

Section A: National Experience and Innovation Landscape

1.	What types of novel food products or processes are currently under development or being commercialized in your country (e.g., cell-based meat, precision fermentation, high-pressure processing)?				
	•	Please list 2–3 examples.			
	•	Are these products intended for domestic consumption, export, or both?			
2.	Does your country have a formal definition for "novel food" or "novel process"?				
	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ In development				
	If yes, how is "novelty" determined (e.g., based on history of consumption, method of production, new ingredient functionality)?				

3.	A. What are the main regulatory pathways available in your country for the approval of novel foods and ingredients?				
	B. Are pre-market safety assessments required?				
	C. Is there a mechanism for public consultation or stakeholder input?				
Sec	tion B: Challenges and Needs				
4.	What are the key regulatory or scientific challenges you know your jurisdiction faces in assessing or authorizing novel foods?				
	☐ Lack of clear guidance or criteria				
	☐ Limited capacity for safety assessments				
	□ Data gaps (e.g., toxicology, allergenicity, bioavailability)				
	☐ Absence of international reference standards				
	□Others (please specify):				
5.	What kinds of support or collaboration would help address these challenges?				
	☐ Access to shared safety data or regional dossiers				
	□ Joint reviews or expert committees				
	☐ Regional guidance documents				
	☐ Regulatory training and capacity building				
	□Other:				

Section C: Regional Collaboration and Role of CCASIA

6.	Which areas of collaboration among CCASIA members would you prioritize? (Please rank top 3)				
☐ Development of common safety assessment guidelines					
	\square Creation of a shared database of authorized novel ingredients and processes				
	\square Conduct of joint or coordinated scientific assessments				
	\Box Identification of priority product categories for harmonization (e.g. algae-based foods, microbial proteins)				
	\square Joint engagement with Codex for new work proposals				
	☐ Mechanisms for mutual recognition of safety decisions				
	\square Building regulatory and scientific capacity across the region				
7.	Would you / your country support the establishment of a CCASIA-led platform or expert network to assess and exchange regulatory information on novel foods?				
	□Yes □No □Maybe				
	What form should it take (e.g., working group, expert task force, regional assessment hub)?				
8. How could public-private collaboration be encouraged within CCASIA to support innovation and regulatory convergence? □Co-development of safety dossiers and data generation					
					□ Joint pilot studies and risk assessments
	☐ Shared forums for dialogue and pre-submission consultations				
	□Other:				
Sec	tion D: Codex Engagement and Global Leadership				
9.	In which areas do you see the most urgent need for Codex guidance or standard-setting related to novel foods?				
	☐ Definitions and classification				
	☐ Safety assessment criteria and data requirements				
	☐ Guidance on novel processing technologies				
	☐ Use of claims linked to novel ingredients				
	□Other:				

10.	Would your country consider supporting the development of a Codex discussion paper(s) or new work proposal(s) related to novel foods?					
	□Yes □No □Under consideration					
	What product category(ies) or issuel(s) could be the focus?					
11	What role should CCASIA play in shaping the Codex agenda on novel foods and processes?					
11.						
	Regional consensus-building and prioritization					
	□ Developing and submitting proposals to CAC					
	☐ Providing technical input to horizontal committees (e.g., CCCF, CCFL, CCGP)					
	☐ Supporting expert consultations coordinated by FAO/WHO					
	□ Optional: Additional Comments					
12.	Considering Asia's leadership in food innovation (e.g. precision fermentation, cellular agriculture) and the potential for Asian food regulators to lead globally, Please share any additional ideas, expectations, or proposals that could					
	help Asian Food Regulators express such leadership in fostering responsible and agile access to novel foods and					
	technologies in the region and globally:					

CCASIA Collaborative Mechanisms for	r Advancing Food Innovation and I	Regulatory Convergence	