





PREPARATION FOR THE 34th SESSION OF THE CODEX COMMITTEE ON GENERAL PRINCIPLES

(CCGP34)

May 29, 2025





REVIEW OF THE PROCEDURES IN SECTION 3: GUIDELINES FOR SUBSIDIARY BODIES

CX/GP 25/34/4



Review of the Procedures in Section 3: Guidelines for Subsidiary Bodies

Backgroun

CCGP33 Identified

Gaps and

Inconsistencies

Working Group Led by France and USA Drafted Revisions

CCGP34 will Consider Revised Texts for Adoption





Review of the Procedures in Section 3: Guidelines for Subsidiary Bodies

This agenda item responds to long-standing procedural inconsistencies in Section III of the Codex Procedural Manual, aiming to modernize and harmonize working methods. Key areas needing clarification included:

New Roles and Evolving Working Modalities Structural
Inconsistencies and
Observer Engagement

Working Group
Participation and
Registration

Unclear Application of Step 5/8

The proposed updates aim to ensure consistency, improve transparency, and reflect modern Codex working modalities, while maintaining procedural integrity.





- Section III contained outdated procedures and lacked clarity on roles, working group participation, and observer engagement.
- Several elements, including fast-track processes and CRD handling, required procedural clarification or restructuring.

Proposed

Amendment

Define Roles Like Co-Hosts and Assistants

Clarify PWG and EWG Procedures

Restructure for Logical Flow

Flag Need to Clarify Step 5/8

Note:

Further clarification on Step 5/8 and consistent implementation across committees will be essential moving forward.



It is recommended that CCGP34

Support the adoption of the revised Section III

Encourage Codex to provide practical guidance

Recommend further clarification of Step 5/8



REVIEW OF INCONSISTENCIES IN LANGUAGE AND SUPERSEDED CONTENT (EXCLUDING SECTION 3)

CX/GP 25/34/5



Review of Inconsistencies in Language and Superseded Content (Excluding Section 3)

Background

CCGP33 requested a review of outdated language and redundant content in the Procedural Manual

A drafting group conducted a detailed editorial review

The revised text was submitted to CCGP34





Review of Inconsistencies in Language and Superseded Content (Excluding Section 3)

This agenda item addresses inconsistencies and outdated expressions in the Procedural Manual that were no longer aligned with Codex's digital processes and standard-setting practices.

Outdated Language on Document Access

Ambiguity in the Use of Step 5/8

Inflexible Calendar-Based Timelines

Redundant or Obsolete Provisions These changes aim to improve usability, clarify procedures, and better reflect Codex's evolving operational context.





- Codex procedures still included language and formats based on outdated, paper-based practices.
- The lack of clarity around fast-track adoption and rigid calendar timelines also hindered flexibility.

Proposed

Amendment

Delete Redundant Texts

Adjust Calendar-Based Timelines

Replace "Receive" with "Access"

Add Footnote
Explaining Step 5/8

Note:

These editorial updates improve clarity and remove barriers to procedural understanding, especially for new delegates.



It is recommended that CCGP34

Support the editorial updates

Encourage consistent use of digital terminology

Recommend clearer procedural guidance



GUIDE TO THE PROCEDURE FOR THE AMENDMENT AND REVISION OF CODEX STANDARDS AND RELATED TEXTS

CX/GP 25/34/6



Guide to the Procedure for the Amendment and Revision of Codex Standards and **Related Texts**

Backgroun

corrections, amendments,

Codex lacked formal

definitions for

and revisions

Concerns were raised over transparency, justification, and responsibility

Updated guidance proposed by the drafting group





Guide to the Procedure for the Amendment and Revision of Codex Standards and Related Texts

This agenda item responds to the need for a clearer, more transparent framework for updating Codex texts, especially as procedural terms were used inconsistently and without defined thresholds.

Unclear Terminology Across Codex Texts Lack of Justification Requirements

Overlap with FAO
Publishing Practices

No Update Mechanism Without a Committee The proposed revisions aim to standardize terminology, improve transparency, and ensure continuity in managing Codex texts.





- Codex lacked formal definitions for types of document changes, leading to confusion in updating standards.
- There was also no system for managing updates when no committee was in place.

Proposed — Amendment

Introduce Formal Definitions

Standardize Terminology Across Codex Require Justifications for Changes

Assign Update Role to Secretariat

Note:

These changes support procedural transparency and continuity.



It is recommended that CCGP34

Support the inclusion of formal definitions

Encourage transparent and well documented future changes to

Codex texts

Recommend awareness-raising



PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE PRINCIPLES CONCERNING THE PARTICIPATION OF INTERNATIONAL NGOS

CX/GP 25/34/7



Proposed Amendment to the Principles Concerning the Participation of International NGOs

Background

The rule on double representation for INGOs was placed under a heading related to FAO/WHO-accredited organizations

Several delegations raised concerns at CCGP33

The revised document clarifies the universal applicability of the rule





Proposed Amendment to the Principles Concerning the Participation of International NGOs

This agenda item seeks to resolve confusion around the rule preventing INGOs from being represented in multiple capacities, ensuring fairness and consistency among observer organizations.

Ambiguity in Placement of the Rule

Lack of Clarity
Undermined Observer
Integrity

Unequal Interpretation of Participation Rights

Need for Explicit Heading and Scope The revision clarifies that the double representation rule applies to all INGOs, reinforcing equal treatment in Codex participation.





- The double representation rule for INGOs was located under a section focused on FAO/WHO-related organizations.
- This led to confusion about whether the rule applied to all observer INGOs.

Proposed

Amendment

Insert New Heading Before Paragraph 24

Improve Structural Placement

Clarify Applicability to All INGOs

Preserve Equal Observer Treatment

Note:

This clarification supports procedural fairness and strengthens trust in Codex governance by all observer groups.



It is recommended that CCGP34

Support the structural clarification

Encourage continued review of observer participation rules

Recommend that Codex provides orientation materials



RESOURCE-EFFICIENT REVIEW OF NEW WORK PROPOSALS OUTSIDE THE REMIT OF ACTIVE COMMITTEES

CX/GP 25/34/8



Resource-Efficient Review of New Work Proposals Outside the Remit of Active Committees

Background

Codex increasingly receives proposals that do not fall under any current

committee

The current system lacks flexible options for reviewing such proposals

This agenda item presents informal alternatives for early-stage engagement





Guide to the Procedure for the Amendment and Revision of Codex Standards and Related Texts

This agenda item explores procedural gaps in how Codex handles new work proposals that fall outside the mandates of active committees, a growing issue as new topics emerge:

No Clear Pathway for Cross-Cutting Proposals

Missed Opportunities for Early Engagement

Committee Reactivation Is Resource-Intensive

Limited Flexibility
Disadvantages
Developing Countries

The proposed approaches aim to introduce more flexible, transparent, and inclusive ways to assess emerging proposals.





- Codex had no clear process for handling new work proposals that don't fall under an existing committee.
- This created delays, inefficiencies, and unequal access to early discussions.

Proposed — Amendment

Encourage Use of Regional Committees

Allow Technical Webinars

Permit Temporary
Committee Reactivation

Facilitate Participation via Remote Tools

Note:

These informal pathways could improve agility and inclusiveness without imposing formal procedural burdens.



It is recommended that CCGP34:

Support the development of flexible mechanisms

Encourage the use of virtual platforms

Recommend Codex pilot informal review processes



REVIEW OF THE APPLICATION OF THE CRITERIA AND PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES FOR CODEX COMMITTEES AND AD HOC INTERGOVERNMENTAL TASK FORCES WORKING BY CORRESPONDENCE

CX/GP 25/34/9



REVIEW OF THE APPLICATION OF THE CRITERIA AND PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES FOR CODEX COMMITTEES AND AD HOC INTERGOVERNMENTAL TASK FORCES WORKING BY CORRESPONDENCE

Background

The 44th session of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC44) adopted procedural guidelines for Codex bodies working by correspondence (CWBC).

They Have been used by the Codex Committee on Fish and Fishery Products (CCFFP) and the Codex Committee on Cereals, Pulses and Legumes (CCCPL).

The experience of these committees and feedback received from members **highlighted** the need to revisit and potentially revise these provisions





REVIEW OF THE APPLICATION OF THE CRITERIA AND PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES FOR CODEX COMMITTEES AND AD HOC INTERGOVERNMENTAL TASK FORCES WORKING BY CORRESPONDENCE

The agenda item reflects the evolving landscape of Codex work, especially in light of technological advances. There is general support to clarify and strengthen the procedural framework for CWBC, particularly regarding:

Use of virtual tools for synchronous participation

Responsibilities of host countries

The scope of issues
CWBCs are permitted to
address

Potential cost implications

The proposed changes would improve flexibility, inclusivity, and operational clarity without compromising the integrity of Codex processes





- Paragraph 59 currently states that CWBC 'normally do not hold sessions requiring simultaneous presence.'
- However, recent experience showed value in allowing limited use of virtual tools for real-time dialogue.

Proposed **Amendment**

Add flexibility for chairpersons to convene virtual discussions with simultaneous presence

Helps facilitate consensus and improve efficiency without changing core CWBC nature

Decision to use this tool would be in consultation with the Codex Secretariat

Such discussions should be allowed under exceptional circumstances

Note:

Interpretation costs and implementation feasibility remain key considerations for host countries



It is recommended that CCGP34:

Support the proposed amendment to allow virtual synchronous discussions for specific purposes

Endorse clearer guidance on the scope of CWBC assignments

Recommend editorial updates to ensure procedural consistency

