foodregsci

Reviewing Hazard Characterization Requirements as Part of Food Chemical Risk Assessment

Prof. Samuel Godefroy, Ph.D. | Full Professor, Food Risk Analysis and Regulatory Policies

Review of a Risk Assessment Approach...

Reviewing the notions of Hazard and Risk

Reviewing the various approaches to achieve hazard characterization for chemicals in food





Risk Assessment Procedure: A Scientific Process



Moving to Scientific Assessment





In the Context of Risk Assessment...

Step 1: Hazard Identification

- □Assess toxicity of chemicals:
 - Does the agent causes adverse effects? (qualitative: yes or no)
- □ Which adverse effects?
- □ Source of Data :
 - Tests in vitro
 - Studies on animals
 - Epidemiological studies





In the Context of Risk Assessment...

Step 2: Hazard characterization

- Quantitative (+ qualitative) evaluation of the adverse effects
- Source of Data :
 - Dose-response relations
 - Expected Information:
 - \odot Thresholds : NOAEL, LOAEL,
 - \circ Mechanism of action
 - \circ Individual susceptibility
 - Differences animal-human



• Differences within the human population (men/women, children / adults)



Risk assessment

Dose Response Curve and Threshold

- Threshold: is a dose/concentration of a substance, above which the substance starts to produce toxic effects or below which no (significant) toxic effects are observed (= not statistically significantly different from the background)
- □With this approach, we define safety (acceptable or low risk) through the dose that is lower than the threshold



When a Toxicological Threshold Exists : Key Parameters

- NEL (no-effect-level), NOEL (no-observed-effect-level), NAEL (noadverse-effect-level), NOAEL (no-observed-adverse-effect-level)
- LEL (lowest-effect-level), LOEL, LOAEL (lowest-observed-adverseeffect-level)





Default Uncertainty Factors

The usual 100-fold uncertainty factor includes a 10-fold factor for species differences



The 10-fold interspecies factor allows for both toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics

□ Uncertainty factor ("safety factor") generally 100

- 10 for interspecies variation, 10 for human variation
- UF modified if:
 - Derived from human data
 - Inadequacies in the database



Special Considerations: Population Subgroups

Potentially sensitive subgroups include:

- **Pregnant women** the developing foetus is a vulnerable life stage
- □Neonates have both immaturity of elimination processes and developing organ systems
- **Children** eliminate chemicals more rapidly than adults but have developing body functions
- **The elderly** have a decreased ability to eliminate chemicals by either metabolism or renal excretion

Goal of the RA: protect most sensitive part of the population Appropriate animal studies should cover these life-stages



How Do We Account For Uncertainty

NOAEL:

□Highest dose level of a substance used in a test at which no statistically significant adverse effects were observed (in mg/kg bodyweight/day) % Effect





ADI and TDI Concept

- □Old concept : dating back to 1961 (WHO)
- □ADI (acceptable daily intake): used for safety assessments of substances that are deliberately added e.g. food additives
- □TDI (tolerable daily intake): used for substances that are not expected to be present (undesired) e.g. food contaminants
- □Both values ADI or TDI represent the amount of the substance (additive or contaminant) which can be taken up daily during the whole life (chronic) without appreciable risk according to current knowledge
- This approach works only when a threshold exists (NOEL)
 - Not applicable for genotoxic carcinogens
- □1. Differences between animal and human
 - 2. Individual variation (children, sick/elder persons, enzymatic polymorphismus)
- □Uncertainty factor: USF = 10-100

TDI = NOEL/USF (in mg/kg Bodyweight (BW)/day)



