# foodregsci

#### INTERACTION AND COMMUNICATION BETWEEN RISK ASSESSOR AND RISK MANAGER

**Prof. Samuel Godefroy, Ph.D.** | Full Professor, Food Risk Analysis and Regulatory Policies

## Outline

Reviewing situations of use of Risk Analysis Approach in the context of Issues / Food Incident Management

Importance of Dialogue, Clear Governance and Procedures between Risk Assessors and Risk Managers



Conclusions



#### Reminder of the Risk Analysis Framework

#### FAO / WHO Risk Analysis Paradigm





#### Example of Food Decisions where Risk Analysis is Needed

The development of a standard: A maximum residue level for use of pesticides or veterinary drugs, maximum level of contaminants in food (generally through regulatory decision)

The Development of a guideline / code of practice: conditions of production that help minimize microbiological or chemical risks
Consumption advice for a specific food (e.g., fish consumption for pregnant women)

□ Mandating the recall of a food product



#### Managing Health Risks

#### **Multiple Levers Of Action**

#### Regulatory And Non-regulatory Measures

Use Various Instruments from a Tool Box of Risk Management Tools



Toolbox of Risk Management Measures



#### Focusing on Recall Situations



# **Risk Manager Is** the Coordinator of the Process



### Risk Manager's Role

- Gathers Data / Evidence
- □Shares Information and Coordinates Communication with all Parties
- Generation Frames the Risk Question(s) if Warranted
  - There is no standard for each combination food/contaminant
  - Even if there a standard / regulation, a risk assessment may be needed to support further action (risk-driven measures)







#### Risk Analysis continues to offer the right structure...





#### Other Procedures are needed....

#### To support Communication between Risk Analysis Partners and Stakeholders





#### Other Procedures are Warranted....

"The interactive exchange of information and opinions throughout the risk analysis process concerning hazards and risks, risk-related factors and risk perceptions, among risk assessors, risk managers, consumers, industry, the academic community and other interested parties, including the explanation of risk assessment findings and the basis of risk management decisions." (Codex, 2001)

## Structure is Needed for the Application of Risk Communication



#### Example of Process Flow ... for Health Risk Assessment (HRA)



#### A Few Areas of Clarification....

#### Initiation

- The Risk Manager requests a HRA to the risk assessment evaluator on duty
- All data available are to be provided to the scientific evaluator in a precise and documented form
- The result of the HRA is contingent on the availability and precision of the information provided





## The Process Includes Regular Engagement ...

- The evaluator reviews the information provided for adequacy and reliability
  - The evaluator may request additional information (e.g., microbiological data, methodology used, physico-chemical test results, storage conditions of a food, storage temperature, packaging material specifications, etc.)
  - In an outbreak situation, the evaluator may request an Epidemiologist (from a CDC) to assess or interpret the epidemiological evidence
  - A search for similar scenarios is done in the literature, in previous records, etc.





#### Need to Document Sources / Methods Followed

Example:

A health risk assessment is performed following a template based on Codex Alimentarius guidance and following any other health risk assessment policies





#### Need to Update / Document Methodologies, as Required

- Needed to Develop a Guidance for More Systematic Approach of Weighing in several Data Sources (Epi, Versus Laboratory Results, Food Safety Investigation)
- Develop Decision-Trees where Required
- Use Lessons Learnt from Previous Incidents to Support Enhanced Structure and Coordination





# Establish Agreed-Upon Risk Catagorization

# (same approach to « read » HRA Result)



## Categorization of the Level of Risk is Important

## Example: 3 categories from highest to mildest (This can be part of a Health Risk assessment policy/manual)

- The level of Health Risk is determined by taking into account the hazard identified, the exposure assessment and the hazard characterization. □Health Risk 1
  - Represents a situation where there is a reasonable probability that the consumption/exposure of/to a food could lead to adverse health consequences which are serious or life threatening or that the probability of an outbreak situation is considered high.
- Health Risk 2
  - Represents a situation where there is reasonable probability that the consumption/exposure to a food could lead to temporary or not life threatening health consequences or that the probability of serious adverse consequences is considered remote.





## Determination of Health Risk 1, 2, or Category 3

#### Health Risk 3

 Represents a situation where there is a reasonable probability that the consumption/exposure to a food is not likely to result in any adverse health consequence.

The situation identified may be an indication of a breakdown in Good Manufacturing Practices (e.g., sanitation, quality issues, etc.); in Good Agricultural Practices (e.g. pesticide residue in food above the established MRL); in Good Practices in Veterinary Medicine (e.g. animal drug residue in food above the MRL) or some other relevant factor (e.g., food containing non-permitted nutrients or food additives above the permitted levels, nutrients that do not meet label claim, health-related labelling infractions, etc.).





# mmon Engagement (by Risk assessors and Managers)/ **Communication** with **Stakeholders and Partners**



#### Discussing Examples



## Situation Associated with OTA in Children Food

- Risk Manager /enforcer recalled product and issued advisory associated with findings of OTA in Oat containing children food – Prior to having a Risk Assessment
- Decision **reached** based on higher occurrence levels in some products and the "vulnerability of the population" : Children
- Then Asked to have a Risk Assessment to "Justify" the Decision
- Risk Assessment clearly did not reach the "higher" level of risk (not warranting recall
- Lack of **coordination and transparency** in process <u>did not help</u> interaction with stakeholders & impacted industry



### Lessons Learnt ... and Recommendations

- Although following the Risk Analysis Approach offer the structure needed, further procedure development and documentation is useful to support systematic and robust decision-making processes associated with food safety.
- Optimum Documentation of Process is critical to:
  - clarify roles & responsibilities (and accountabilities)
  - Ensure consistency and coherence of decision-making
  - support trust
- Addition of guidance may be needed as warranted by encountered situations





